DOCKET FILE COPY OF CHARL

1025 Second Avenue - Oakland, CA 94606 Direct (510) 879-8288 Fax (510) 879-1848 Oakland Unified School District **Technology Services**

Facsimile Transmittal

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAR 1 J 2004

FCC - MAILROOM

To Federal Communications Commission

Office of the Secretary

Washington, D.C.

PHONE

FAX (202) 418-0187

OSWALDO GALARZA

Date:

March 10, 2004

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

OFFICER

OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOLS

(510) 879-8872 OR 8288

oswaldo Galarza@secmail ousd k12.ca.us

Re:

RE. PETITION I OR

RECONSIDERATION

PROCCEDING #DA 04-344

CC. DOCKET NO. 02-6

FILE NO SLD-363385

Pages.

9 (including cover sheet)

CC.

SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTATION RE: PETITION FOR RECONSIDEATION RE DA 04-344.

SHOULD YOU REQUIRE ADDITIONAL DATA, PLEASE CALL THANK YOU.

OAG/wg

No of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE

(©) Federal Communications Commission

Electronic Comment File Submission

Sending your comment is a 2-step process. The first step is to complete the Cover Sheet below. The second step is to choose one of the two transmittal methods, sending a file, or sending a short message that you type directly on this page.

	RECEIVED & INSPECTED	
1 Proceeding (c.g. 00-22	L.RM-9920) (remined)	
02-6	MAR 1 J 2004	
2 Mail Correspondence	To: (required) • Name C Law Firm C Attorney	ECC MAU DOOM
3 Name of Applicant/Pe		FCC - MAILROOM
Oakland Unified Schoo	l District, attention Oswaldo A. Galarza	
4 Law Firm Name (option	onal)	
		•
5 Attorney Name (option	المد)	
6 Email-id (optional)	•	
oswaldo.galarza@secr	nail ousd k12 ca us	
7 Mailing Address For C	Correspondence (required)	
314 E 10th Street	Sort especialist (1915-191)	
Room 211	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.100		The falls of the discountry
8 City (required)	9 State (required)	10 Zip Code (required)
Oakland	CA. CALIFORNIA <u>→</u>	94606
1) Fx-Parte/Late Filed	12. Document Type (required)	. ,
(optional)	PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION	-
(optional)	Clear Cover Sheet	_
	Please complete ONE of the following:	
Sei	nd Comment Files to FCC (Attachmen	ts)
File Description	PETITION FOR RECO File:	Browse
•	of these file types or convert your file to one of t	
	Sond Attached File to FCC	
	OR	
s	end a Brief Comment to FCC (typed-ir	n)
I	f you are entering over 70 characters on one lin	e
	oress your carriage return to ensure proper line	
We will also be	sending FAXed copies of these documents	to 202-418-
		-
		- 1
1	,	<u>-</u>
Sor	nd Brief Comment to FCC Clear Brief Com	ment

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

FCC - MAILROOM



March 9, 2004

Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Office of the Secretary Washington, D.C., 20554

Dear Sir or Madam:

Re Petition for Reconsideration re DA 04-344

CC Docket No. 02-6

File No. SLD-363385
Billed Entity Number: 144227
471 Application Number: 363385
Proceeding Number DA 04-344

Release Date: February 11, 2004

The Oakland Unified School District (District) respectfully submits a Potition for Reconsideration of the Oakland Unified School District's Request for Review released on February 11, 2004, Proceeding Number DA 04-0344 by the Federal Communication Commission Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireless Competition Bureau

Schools and libraries are fundamental institutions in American society. Each plays a critical role in the education of our nation's future leaders and in the preparation of an informed citizenry that is capable of making wise decisions and vital contributions to our national security, economic prosperity, and furtherance of long-standing values of American democracy.

In 1996, the Congress of the United States determined to address approaching challenges of the 21st century. In a rapidly changing information society, higher levels of knowledge and skills would be required for individuals and the nation to compete, communicate and succeed in a global context.

Passage of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 signaled an important milestone, not only of telecommunications progress but also of social policy. Through the Universal Service provisions of this law, Congress took remarkable action. It extended affordable access to advanced telecommunications services to all of the nation's schools, public and private, to classrooms and libraries.

p. 4

It recognized the geographical diversity of schools and libraries: some situated in remote isolated areas, others in decaying or revitalized urban centers. Congress recognized the diversity in the costs of telecommunications services to those areas, and in the ability of schools and libraries, on their own, to pay these costs. It recognized the diversity inherent in teaching and learning and in the delivery of that instruction, including through telecommunications means.

While the Joint Federal and State Board for Universal Service and the Federal Communications Commission initiated and continue to adapt a set of rules and regulations for the Schools and Libraries Discounts Program, they have not wavered from important key principles.

The principle of affordability is of great important to the Oakland Unified School District, situated across the San Francisco Bay. The District's surrounding community is not one of overall high wealth, and the local tax base for support of schools reflects this economic reality. Affordability matters.

The District appreciates the commitment of the Federal Communications Commission to ensuring that the poorest and most disadvantaged schools and school districts are adequately connected to the Internet and receive equitable discounts for their telephone service.

The Oakland Unified School District educates 50,546 students from kindergarten through high school, and about 25,000 in adult school. Of its total kindergarten through grade 12 student enrollment, 63.6% are eligible for the federally subsidized Free and Reduced Price School Lunch Program with deeper poverty in many schools. Oakland's families are ethnically and racially diverse. 42.4% are African-American; 15% are Asian-Americans; 33.6% are Hispanic; 5.74% are Caucasian; 1.1% are Pacific Islander; 4.0% are Native American; .7% are Filipino, and .8% Other.

Affordability remains a critical issue as the nation's economy is not bouncing back from recession as rapidly as hoped, and job creation has been less than desired. Public schools are operating on limited budgets and states like California are in fiscal crisis.

The Oakland Unified School District applauds another principle that the Federal Communications Commission has sustained for the Schools and Libraries discounts program: that of equal opportunity for schools to gain access to telecommunications services for educational purposes no matter their circumstances or location.

It is in the context of the two underlying elements of Universal Service for schools and libraries, affordability and access, contained in the 1996 Act and implemented through FCC rules and regulations, along with basic notions of American justice, that the Oakland Unified School District submits this Petition for Reconsideration of an order by the Federal Communications Commission, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau adopted on February 10, 2004 and released on February 11, 2004, identified as Proceeding Number DA 04-344

The following information underlies the Oakland Unified School District's belief that Form 471 application number 363385 was submitted properly to the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD), Universal Services Administrative Company (USAC) on February 6, 2003 and that an initial error, however unintentional, was made by the SLD. Absent that SLD original error, no appeal of Federal Communications rules and regulations would be necessary.

The Oakland Unified School District submitted Form 471 application numbers 351033 and 363385 together in the same envelope via FedEx on February 6, 2003. Based on FedEx signature records both applications were received by the SLD within the acceptable window or timeline.

On March 20, 2003 OUSD received a Certification Postmarked Outside of Window notification regarding Form 471 Application number 351033. The notice states that the Form 471 certification was postmarked on February 13, 2003 OUSD did not receive a Certification Postmarked Outside of Window notification regarding Form 471 Application number 363385. On April 17, 2003 OUSD submitted an e-mail appeal of the Certification Postmarked Outside of Window notification for Application number 351033.

On June 3, 2003 the appeal of Form 471 number 351033 was Approved For Certification. Both Form 471 application numbers 351033 and 363385 were submitted and received together in the same envelope. If Form 471 number 351033 was Approved For Certification as within the acceptable window then Form 471 number 363385 was also received within the acceptable window and should be Approved For Certification.

The District believes that there was an error made by the SLD on the initial receipt of the Form 471 applications, and that regardless of whether the District later met the FCC timeline rules the right and proper thing to do is to allow Form 471 number 363385 to be considered for the Schools and Libraries Program, in the same way that Form 471 number 351033 was considered. Were it not for the initial administrative and procedural error on the part of the SLD no appeal of any sort would be necessary and both Form 471 number 351033 and Form 471 number 363385 would have been certified properly in 2003.

On February 6, 2003, when the Oakland Unified School District submitted the two applications for Schools and Libraries Discounts under Universal Service provisions, the District had high expectations for realizing the dreams that the District and its families have for their students. The District commended the law's (Telecommunications Act of 1996) commitment to equal opportunity, to access to telecommunications services, and to equity in discount distribution.

The District believes that accountability is an important and integral part of the Schools and Libraries discounts program integrity. The District also believes that the Schools and Libraries Program of Universal Service is an inextricably linked partnership of discount

applicants, service providers, administrators and regulators. No entity is exempt from assuring appropriate accountability measures are taken.

Program integrity and accountability of all partners is essential to sustaining a viable program, maintaining an effective and efficient process, and combating waste, fraud and abuse.

However, the District believes that the Schools and Libraries Division of the Universal Service Support Mechanism made an error, a flawed set of decisions, that do not comport with the accountability standards and procedures of this program. Accountability does not fall solely on applicants.

The SLD demonstrated that it understood its initial error, its ruling that the District's single Federal Express envelope containing its two applications (numbers previously cited) was not received on time, and altered its positions. The SLD took action affirmatively to permit one of the two District applications to proceed forward, and certified it as, in fact, eligible for consideration for Schools and Libraries discounts by the SLD. The SLD reversed its original claims.

But the SLD failed to correct its error with the second OUSD application that was sent in the same package as the first. Thus the SLD denied equal access to affordable telecommunications services to some District students, and public schools, but not others. Furthermore, the District believes that the SLD failed to apply consistent and equitable implementation of Schools and Libraries Program policies and procedures, and exhibited deficient administrative oversight and practices. Equal access was hence denied, and equal treatment of the two applications and of the students and schools whose telecommunications interests were represented by those applications failed to occur.

SLD accountability or lack thereof, in the Oakland Unified School District's case presented herein, produces hurdles upon hurdles for applicants, and unjust outcomes for students, schools and school districts. This cannot simply be ignored, administratively nor in official rulings by the Federal Communications Commission. Accountability means everybody

The Oakland Unified School District believes that the FCC and the SLD should consider all applications fairly and equally. In this case, Form 471 applications numbers 351033 and 353385 were not treated equally even though both applications were received in the same envelope. Access to eligibility consideration was denied one application but not the other without explanation or explicit rationale for the difference in treatment.

The SLD appears to have been inconsistent in the application and implementation of its administrative procedures, program rules and policies in regard to Form 471 numbers 351033 and 353385. This has resulted in the expenditure of an extraordinary amount of resources, time, and energy on the part of the Oakland Unified School District, the SLD, USAC, and the FCC and denied access on a seemingly arbitrary basis to one group of students and schools but not others.



The FCC Acknowledges Receipt of Comments From ... Oakland Unified School District, attention Oswaldo A. Galarza ...and Thank You for Your Comments

Your Confirmation Number is: '2004310949751'

Date Received:

Mar 10 2004

Docket:

02-6

Number of Files Transmitted: 4

DISCLOSURE

This confirmation verifies that ECFS has received and accepted your filing. However, your filing will be rejected by ECFS if it contains macros, passwords, redlining, read-only formatting, a virus or automated links to source documents that is not included with your filing.

Filers are encouraged to retrieve and view their filing within 24 hours of receipt of this confirmation. For any problems contact the Help Desk at 202-418-0193.

Initiate a Submission | Search LCFS | Return to ECFS Home Page

FCC Home Page Search Commissioners Bureaus/Offices Finding Info

updated 02/11/02



Location:

OAKA

Device ID:

QAKA-POS2

Employee: fransaction 367518 800011679786

PRIORITY OVERNIGHT

858605734365

0 15 lb (S)

\$1/ 24

Total Due:

\$17.24

FedEx Account:

\$17.24

****35507

H = Jeryht entered manually

S = Weight read from scale

I = laxable item

Subject to additional charges. See FedEx Service Guide. at feder com for details. All nerchandise sales final.

> Vicit us at: www.fedex.com Or call 1-8CO-Go-FedEx® (800)463-3339

February 6, 2003 5:52:35 PM

ELJI.	United States Home		Information Conter	Customer Support 5	Site Map
rea	Package / Envelope Sen	Aces Thought wo say	Same Day Services	Search	Gol
	Ship Track	Rates Picking	Locations Transit Time	International Fook	_
Truck Shipments Afternite Peferunce Track	Track Shipments		Quick I	Help	
• Email Track • Fedt x InSight	Detailed Results				
• Custom Critical • Carrio Track • FedEx Cleight	Tracking Number Reference Number Shin Date	838605734365 02/06/2003	Tracking Options Obtain a Signatur of Delivery	c Proof	
Related Links Supplied Proof My FedEx	Delivered To Delivery Location Delivery Date/Time	LAWRENCE KS 02/07/2003 09·17	 Ermail these track results to one or recipients 	more	
 FedEx Wiren → Column : FedEx Addis = Checker 	Signed For By Service Type	M HODGES Priority Envelope	 Track Mare Shiph 	nents	
NEED TO KNOW ?	Scan Activity Delivered/LAWRENCE KS	Date/Tum 92/07/200		•	
ت			•		
Signature Proof of Polimery	Email Your Detailed Tra Enter your email (optional), u message, and click on Send	p to three email addr	esses as recipients, add your		
isection executed to a min	From				
	rigin				
	То				
	То				
	То				
	Add a message to this email		_ ,		
		-	*		
		_	<u>-</u> j		
	Send Email	_			

Global Homel, Service Into J About FedEx J Investor Relations J Careers J fedex com Terms of Use J Privacy Policy. This site is protected by copyright and trademark laws under U.S. and International law. All rights reserved © 1995-2003 FedEx.